

Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	iii
Synthèse	iv
Recent Publications of the Former Fluid Dynamics Panel	xi
Introduction	xiii
Biographies of Authors	B-1
 CHAPTER:	
1. Status of Wind Tunnel Wall Correction Methods	1-1
1.1 The Fundamental Assumption (C.R. Taylor, P.R. Ashill)	1-3
1.2 Tunnel Calibration and Book-Keeping of Corrections (C.R. Taylor, P.R. Ashill)	1-4
1.3 Primary Corrections and Residual Variations (C.R. Taylor, P.R. Ashill)	1-6
1.3.1 Basic Concepts	1-6
1.3.2 Corrections Appropriate to Spatially-Varying Interference Flows	1-8
References for Sections 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3	1-13
1.4 Choice of Correction Method (A. Krynytzky, J.E. Hackett)	1-15
1.4.1 Model Aerodynamics	1-16
1.4.2 Mach Number	1-17
1.4.3 Model Size	1-19
1.4.4 Wind Tunnel Walls	1-20
References for Section 1.4	1-23
2. Conventional Wall Corrections for Closed and Open Test Sections	2-1
2.1 Classical Wall Corrections : Basic Principles, Definitions and Assumptions (A. Krynytzky)	2-4
2.1.1 Co-ordinate System and Governing Equations	2-4
2.1.2 Model Representation	2-6
2.1.3 Tunnel Walls	2-8
2.2 Classical Corrections for Closed Test Sections (A. Krynytzky)	2-12
2.2.1 Classical Corrections for Lift Interference	2-14
2.2.2 Classical Corrections for Blockage Interference	2-22
2.2.3 Wake Blockage Corrections for Separated Flows	2-34
2.3 Panel Methods for Closed-Wall Tunnels (A. Krynytzky)	2-36
2.3.1 General Considerations	2-36
2.3.2 2D Interference	2-40
2.3.3 3D Lift Interference	2-40
2.3.4 3D Blockage Interference	2-43
2.3.5 3D Wing-Body Combinations	2-45
2.3.6 Summary of Panel Methods	2-53
2.4 Classical Corrections for Open Test Sections (B. Ewald)	2-54
2.4.1 Introduction	2-54
2.4.2 Lift Interference	2-55

2.4.3	Blockage Correction	2-60
2.4.4	Wake Correction	2-61
	Nomenclature for Chapter 2	2-62
	References for Chapter 2	2-64
3.	Conventional Corrections in Ventilated Test Sections (A. Krynytzky)	3-1
3.1	Background, Assumptions, and Definitions	3-5
3.2	Wall Boundary Conditions	3-7
3.2.1	Ideal Ventilated Wall Boundary Conditions	3-8
3.2.2	Experimental Investigations of Perforated-Wall Characteristics	3-10
3.2.3	Experimental Investigations of Slotted-Wall Characteristics	3-12
3.3	Interference in 2D Testing	3-14
3.3.1	Interference of Small Models, Uniform Walls	3-14
3.3.2	Interference of Small Models, Non-uniform Walls	3-16
3.4	Interference in 3D Testing, Classical Results	3-18
3.4.1	Slotted Walls	3-19
3.4.2	Porous Walls	3-20
3.5	Computational Approaches to Interference Evaluation	3-22
3.5.1	Point Singularity Model Representation	3-23
3.5.2	Panel Methods, Homogeneous Ventilated Walls	3-24
3.5.3	Panel Methods, Finite-Length and Discrete Slots	3-27
3.6	Conclusion	3-41
	Nomenclature for Chapter 3	3-41
	References for Chapter 3	3-43
4.	Boundary Measurements Methods	4-1
4.1	Fundamental Theories (P.R. Ashill)	4-3
4.1.1	Basic Considerations	4-4
4.1.2	One Variable Methods	4-7
4.1.3	Wall-Signature Methods	4-12
4.1.4	Two-Variable Methods	4-14
	References to Chapter 4.1	4-17
4.2	Closed Test Sections (P.R. Ashill, J.E. Hackett)	4-20
4.2.1	Background	4-20
4.2.2	Boundary Conditions	4-21
4.2.3	Numerical Approximations	4-24
4.2.4	Choice of Method	4-24
4.2.5	Measurements and Analysis of Wall Pressures	4-25
4.2.6	Model and Tunnel Representation when Using the “Matrix” Version of the Wall Pressure Signature Method	4-30
	References to Section 4.2	4-35
4.3	Ventilated Test Sections (M. Mokry, F. Steinle)	4-38
4.3.1	One-Variable Method	4-39
4.3.2	Two-Variable Method	4-48
4.3.3	Alternative Methods	4-55
	Appendix: Rectangular Wall Panel	4-55
	References to Chapter 4.3	4-57

5. Transonic Wind Tunnel Wall Interference	5-1
(N.D. Malmuth, R. Crites, J. Everhart, P. Newman, W. Sickles)	
5.1 Background	5-3
5.1.1 Scope and Overview	5-3
5.1.2 Previous Literature and Conferences	5-4
5.1.3 Wall Interference/Reynolds Simulation Trade-Off in Model Sizing	5-4
5.1.4 Correctability	5-5
5.2 Wall Boundary Conditions for Transonic Flows	5-8
5.2.1 Nomenclature for Section 5.2	5-8
5.2.2 Solid Walls	5-10
5.2.3 Porous walls	5-11
5.2.4 Slotted Walls	5-21
5.2.5 Baffled Slotted Walls	5-35
5.3 Computational Approaches	5-37
5.3.1 Tunnel Simulations	5-37
5.3.2 MDA Wall Interference Computations	5-44
5.3.3 AEDC Wall Interference Computations	5-49
5.3.4 NASA Langley WIAC Methods	5-68
5.4 Asymptotic Methods for Transonic Wind Tunnel Wall Interference	5-72
5.4.1 Background	5-72
5.4.2 Overview of Asymptotic Procedures for Small Slender and Large Aspect Ratio Configurations	5-72
5.4.3 Small Slender Configurations	5-73
5.4.4 High Aspect Ratio Theory	5-74
5.4.5 Results - Small Slender Bodies	5-75
5.4.6 Results - Large Aspect Ratio Wings	5-76
5.4.7 Lift Interference and Porous Wall Effects on Slender Wings	5-79
5.4.8 Extension of Large Wall-Height Blockage Interference Theory to Moderate Wall Height Case	5-85
5.4.9 Validations of Theoretical and Computational Simulations for Moderate Wall Height Case	5-86
5.4.10 Non-Circular Wind Tunnel Sections	5-90
5.4.11 Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	5-94
5.5 Assessment of State of the Art	5-95
5.6 References for Chapter 5	5-98
6. Bluff-Body Blockage Corrections in Closed- and Open-Test-Section Wind Tunnels	6-1
(K. Cooper)	
List of Symbols	6-3
6.1 Introduction	6-5
6.1.1 History and Status	6-5
6.1.2 Closed and Open Test Sections	6-6
6.1.3 Important Test Section Boundaries	6-6
6.1.4 Comparison of Closed and Open Test Sections	6-6
6.2 Methods for Closed Test Sections	6-8
6.2.1 Maskell's Analysis	6-8
6.2.2 Cowdrey's Development	6-11
6.2.3 Hackett's Two-Step Version of Maskell's Analysis	6-11
6.2.4 Commentary on Maskell's Correction	6-13
6.2.5 Wake Buoyancy and the Wake-Induced Drag Increment	6-13
6.2.6 Mercker's Analysis	6-14
6.2.7 Upstream and Downstream Effects	6-16

6.3	Methods for Open Test Sections	6-18
6.3.1	Recent Results from Automotive Testing	6-18
6.3.2	The Primary Effects	6-18
6.3.3	The Method of Mercker and Wiedemann	6-23
6.4	Application to Closed Test Sections	6-29
6.4.1	Aircraft	6-29
6.4.2	Surface Vehicles	6-29
6.4.3	Miscellaneous	6-30
6.5	Application to Open Test Sections	6-30
6.5.1	Surface Vehicles	6-30
6.6	References	6-32
7.	Wall Correction Methods for Powered Models of Conventional Take Off and Landing Aircraft (J.E. Hackett, P.R. Ashill, M. Mokry)	7-1
	List of Symbols for Chapter 7	7-3
7.1	Introduction	7-4
7.2	Determination of Model Power Settings	7-4
7.3	Wall Corrections for Jet-Powered Models	7-5
7.3.1	The Test Environment	7-5
7.3.2	Entrainment Effects for Jet-Powered Models	7-7
7.4	Wall Corrections for Propeller-Powered Models	7-10
7.4.1	Conventional Correction Methods	7-10
7.4.2	Pressure Signature-Based Correction Methods: Propeller Calibration	7-14
7.4.3	Separation of Propeller and Airframe Forces and Moments	7-19
7.4.4	Application of Tunnel Constraint Corrections	7-24
	References for Chapter 7	7-26
	Appendix: glauert.c	7-27
8.	Wall Correction Methods for V/STOL Configurations, Helicopters, Propellers and Windmills (J.E. Hackett)	8-1
	Notation	8-3
8.1	Introduction	8-5
8.1.1	Possible Approaches for Powered Flows	8-5
8.1.2	The V/STOL Testing Environment	8-6
8.2	Flow Modelling-Based Methods	8-11
8.2.1	Heyson's Method	8-11
8.2.2	Panel Methods	8-20
8.3	The Wall Pressure Signature Method	8-22
8.3.1	Theoretical Overview	8-22
8.3.2	Experimental Aspects	8-26
8.3.3	Analysis for the "Source-Source-Sink" Version of the Method	8-27
8.3.4	Analysis for the "Matrix" Version of the Method	8-31
8.3.5	Discussion	8-35
8.4	Tunnel Interference for a Jet-in-Crossflow	8-35
8.4.1	Introduction	8-35
8.4.2	Theoretical Flow Model	8-36
	References for Chapter 8	8-41

9.	Wall Correction Methods for Dynamic Tests (R. Voß)	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-3
9.2	Physical Basics of Unsteady Wind Tunnel Interference	9-5
9.2.1	Characteristics of Motion-Induced Unsteady Flow Fields	9-5
9.2.2	Wind Tunnel Interference Effects in Unsteady Flow	9-7
9.2.3	Unsteady Wind Tunnel Wall Boundary Conditions	9-9
9.2.4	Acoustic Interference and Tunnel Resonance	9-10
9.3	Wall Adaptation for Dynamic Tests	9-13
9.3.1	Steady Wall Adaptation	9-13
9.3.2	Passive Adaptive Unsteady Walls	9-14
9.3.3	Active Adaptive Unsteady Walls	9-15
9.4	Modelling of Unsteady Wall Interferences as a Basis for Correction Methods	9-16
9.5	Reduction and Correction of Unsteady Wind Tunnel Wall Interferences	9-23
9.5.1	Unsteady Wind Tunnel Wall Corrections by Analytical Methods	9-23
9.5.2	Unsteady Wind Tunnel Wall Corrections Using Measured Tunnel Wall Pressure Values and Numerical Methods	9-24
	References	9-27
10.	Adaptive Wall Techniques (E. Wedemeyer, N.J. Taylor, H. Holst)	10-1
	Lists of Symbols	10-3
10.1	Introduction	10-4
10.2	The Rudiments of Adaptive Wall Techniques	10-5
10.2.1	The Aims of Wall Adaptation	10-5
10.2.2	The Forms of Wall Adaptation	10-6
10.2.3	The Process of Wall Adaptation	10-8
10.2.4	Further Points of Classification	10-11
10.3	Two-Dimensional Testing	10-12
10.3.1	Wall Adaptation by Iteration	10-13
10.3.2	One Step Methods of Wall Adaptation for Group 1 Flows	10-13
10.3.3	Wall Adaptation in Supersonic Flow (Group 5)	10-15
10.3.4	Wall Adaptation in Transonic Flows (Groups 2-4)	10-16
10.4	Three-Dimensional Testing	10-17
10.4.1	Wall Adaptation by Interface Matching	10-17
10.4.2	Target Line Methods: Two-Dimensional Wall Adaptation for Three-Dimensional Flows	10-18
10.4.3	Effects of the Sidewall Boundary Layer	10-25
10.5	Manipulative Algorithms	10-26
10.6	Priorities for the Future	10-28
10.6.1	Data Quality	10-28
10.6.2	Rate of Data Acquisition	10-28
10.6.3	Complexity and Cost	10-29
	Tables	10-31
	Figures	10-31
10.7	References	10-42
	Appendix A	10-45
	Appendix B	10-45

11. Addressing Uncertainties in Wall Corrections (P. Newman, J.L. Everhart)	11-1
11.1 Introduction	11-3
11.2 Fundamental Aspects	11-4
11.2.1 Consequences of the Fundamental Assumption	11-4
11.2.2 Compatibility of Hardware, Software, and Procedures	11-6
11.2.3 Consequences of Physical and Economic Constraints	11-7
11.3 Experimental Aspects	11-7
11.3.1 Traditional Approaches to Determining Interference	11-7
11.3.2 Establishing Data Credibility	11-9
11.3.3 Determining the True Value or “Truth”	11-10
11.3.4 Characterisation of the National Transonic Facility	11-11
11.4 Computational Aspects	11-15
11.4.1 Major Computational Thrusts	11-15
11.4.2 Uncertainty Sources in CFD Based Correction Procedures	11-16
11.4.3 Sensitivity Analysis for Correction Uncertainty Assessment	11-17
11.5 Concluding Remarks	11-18
11.6 References	11-19
12. Future Necessary Work (F. Steinle, R. Crites, A. Krynytzky, T. Binion)	12-1
12.1 Introduction	12-3
12.1.1 Near Term Objectives	12-3
12.1.2 Far-Term Objectives	12-6
12.2 Areas for Emphasis, versus Expectations	12-7
12.2.1 Better Understanding of Application of Wall Interference	12-7
12.2.2 Definition and Measurement of Boundary Conditions	12-11
12.2.3 Computational Methodology, Assessment and Validation	12-14
12.3 Coupling of Wall Interference with other Phenomena	12-19
12.3.1 Compressibility Effects	12-19
12.3.2 Viscous Effects for High Lift and Transonic Interactions	12-19
12.3.3 Support Interference Effects	12-19
12.3.4 Tunnel Non-uniform Flow	12-20
12.4 Reduction of Wall Interference	12-20
12.4.1 Passive Wall Design	12-21
12.4.2 Variable Characteristics Wall Design	12-21
12.4.3 Adaptive Walls	12-21
12.5 Summary of Recommendations	12-22
References to Chapter 12	12-24

Recent Publications of the Former Fluid Dynamics Panel

AGARDOGRAPHS (AG)

Turbulent Boundary Layers in Subsonic and Supersonic Flow
AGARD AG-335, July 1996

Computational Aerodynamics Based on the Euler Equations
AGARD AG-325, September 1994

Scale Effects on Aircraft and Weapon Aerodynamics
AGARD AG-323 (E), July 1994

Design and Testing of High-Performance Parachutes
AGARD AG-319, November 1991

Experimental Techniques in the Field of Low Density Aerodynamics
AGARD AG-318 (E), April 1991

Techniques Expérimentales Liées à l'Aérodynamique à Basse Densité
AGARD AG-318 (FR), April 1990

A Survey of Measurements and Measuring Techniques in Rapidly Distorted Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layers
AGARD AG-315, May 1989

REPORTS (R)

Turbulence in Compressible Flows
AGARD R-819, Special Course Notes, June 1997

Advances in Cryogenic Wind Tunnel Technology
AGARD R-812, Special Course Notes, January 1997

Aerothermodynamics and Propulsion Integration for Hypersonic Vehicles
AGARD R-813, Special Course Notes, October 1996

Parallel Computing in CFD
AGARD R-807, Special Course Notes, October 1995

Optimum Design Methods for Aerodynamics
AGARD R-803, Special Course Notes, November 1994

Missile Aerodynamics
AGARD R-804, Special Course Notes, May 1994

Progress in Transition Modelling
AGARD R-793, Special Course Notes, April 1994

Shock-Wave/Boundary-Layer Interactions in Supersonic and Hypersonic Flows
AGARD R-792, Special Course Notes, August 1993

Unstructured Grid Methods for Advection Dominated Flows
AGARD R-787, Special Course Notes, May 1992

Skin Friction Drag Reduction
AGARD R-786, Special Course Notes, March 1992

Engineering Methods in Aerodynamic Analysis and Design of Aircraft
AGARD R-783, Special Course Notes, January 1992

ADVISORY REPORTS (AR)

A Selection of Test Cases for the Validation of Large-Eddy Simulations of Turbulent Flows
AGARD AR-345, April 1998

Ice Accretion Simulation
AGARD AR-344, Report of WG-20, December 1997

Sonic Nozzles for Mass Flow Measurement and Reference Nozzles for Thrust Verification
AGARD AR-321, Report of WG-19, June 1997

Cooperative Programme on Dynamic Wind Tunnel Experiments for Manoeuvring Aircraft
AGARD AR-305, Report of WG-16, October 1996

Hypersonic Experimental and Computational Capability, Improvement and Validation
AGARD AR-319, Vol. I, Report of WG-18, May 1996

Aerodynamics of 3-D Aircraft Afterbodies
AGARD AR-318, Report of WG-17, September 1995

A Selection of Experimental Test Cases for the Validation of CFD Codes
AGARD AR-303, Vols. I and II, Report of WG-14, August 1994

Quality Assessment for Wind Tunnel Testing

AGARD AR-304, Report of WG-15, July 1994

Air Intakes of High Speed Vehicles

AGARD AR-270, Report of WG-13, September 1991

Appraisal of the Suitability of Turbulence Models in Flow Calculations

AGARD AR-291, Technical Status Review, July 1991

Rotary-Balance Testing for Aircraft Dynamics

AGARD AR-265, Report of WG11, December 1990

Calculation of 3D Separated Turbulent Flows in Boundary Layer Limit

AGARD AR-255, Report of WG10, May 1990

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS (CP)

Advanced Aerodynamic Measurement Technology

AGARD CP-601, May 1998

Aerodynamics of Wind Tunnel Circuits and Their Components

AGARD CP-585, June 1997

The Characterization & Modification of Wakes from Lifting Vehicles in Fluids

AGARD CP-584, November 1996

Progress and Challenges in CFD Methods and Algorithms

AGARD CP-578, April 1996

Aerodynamics of Store Integration and Separation

AGARD CP-570, February 1996

Aerodynamics and Aeroacoustics of Rotorcraft

AGARD CP-552, August 1995

Application of Direct and Large Eddy Simulation to Transition and Turbulence

AGARD CP-551, December 1994

Wall Interference, Support Interference, and Flow Field Measurements

AGARD CP-535, July 1994

Computational and Experimental Assessment of Jets in Cross Flow

AGARD CP-534, November 1993

High-Lift System Aerodynamics

AGARD CP-515, September 1993

Theoretical and Experimental Methods in Hypersonic Flows

AGARD CP-514, April 1993

Aerodynamic Engine/Airframe Integration for High Performance Aircraft and Missiles

AGARD CP-498, September 1992

Effects of Adverse Weather on Aerodynamics

AGARD CP-496, December 1991

Manoeuvring Aerodynamics

AGARD CP-497, November 1991

Vortex Flow Aerodynamics

AGARD CP-494, July 1991

Missile Aerodynamics

AGARD CP-493, October 1990

Aerodynamics of Combat Aircraft Controls and of Ground Effects

AGARD CP-465, April 1990

Computational Methods for Aerodynamic Design (Inverse) and Optimization

AGARD CP-463, March 1990

Applications of Mesh Generation to Complex 3-D Configurations

AGARD CP-464, March 1990

Fluid Dynamics of Three-Dimensional Turbulent Shear Flows and Transition

AGARD CP-438, April 1989

INTRODUCTION

In October 1966 the AGARD Fluid Dynamics Panel published the **AGARDograph 109** on the subject of "**WIND TUNNEL WALL CORRECTIONS**". This comprehensive compilation of knowledge of wall corrections available at that time was edited by J. Garner (National Physical Laboratory, England) with contributions from E.W.E. Rogers, W.E.A. Acum also of NPL and E.C. Maskell (Royal Aircraft Establishment, England). Without doubt this AGARDograph 109 has been one of the most successful publications of AGARD and is still today the wind tunnel engineers most authoritative source of wall correction methods and data.

The wall correction methods outlined in AGARDograph 109 are based on subsonic linear and inviscid aerodynamics. In most cases the wall effects are correlated with the measured total aerodynamic forces and simple image methods are used to calculate and correct for the wall effects. Most of these theories were published before 1950 and some appeared as early as the 1920's.

By the time of AGARDograph 109 the computer had not had a significant impact on the calculation of wall interference corrections. Computers had begun to be used for reducing raw wind tunnel data to dimensionless coefficients and for applying simple wall corrections. However, the wall correction methods themselves had not been influenced to any degree by advances in computer technology.

Typical wind tunnel engineers are normally experimentally-minded people who are not really enthusiastic about computational fluid dynamics. A consequence of this is that the adoption of computational methods in practical wall correction schemes has been slow. Routine correction methods, such as those formulated by pioneers such as Prandtl, Glauert, Durand, Goethert, Riegels and Maskell have remained in use even in large high quality wind tunnels in the thirty years since these and other methods were described in AGARDograph 109. Nevertheless, during this period of large amount of theoretical and experimental studies of wind tunnel wall interference were done and these developments have been influenced by the rapid improvements that have been made in computing speed and power. Computer based methods that have been developed include :

1) *Panel Methods*

These methods have made it possible to represent more accurately than linearised theory methods subcritical flows over complex model configurations in the constraining presence of the tunnel walls. Panel methods have also permitted wind tunnels with working sections of relatively small and/or non-standard cross sections (not amenable to treatment by classical image methods) to be modelled. These methods require considerable computing power and for this reason have not yet found wide favour with the wind tunnel testing community. Further discussions of methods of this type will be found in Chapters 2 and 3.

2) *Boundary-measurement methods*

These methods were developed to exploit information available from measurements of the flow at or near the tunnel walls. The general technique is not entirely new, as can be seen in AGARDograph 109, where reference is made to the use of wall pressure measurements to determine the blockage correction in solid-wall wind tunnels. The serious application of these techniques became possible by the development of computers during the '60s and '70s which enabled wall interference velocities to be computed from a large number of flow measurements. Methods of this type can be used to aid the modelling of the flow in the near region of the model for solid wall wind tunnels, for which the wall corrections are critically dependent on the model representation. For perforated or slotted wall wind tunnels, they can be used to provide information on the wall boundary conditions where suitable model representation is available.

Finally, where both normal and streamwise velocity components are measured at the bounding surface, no model representation is needed. These methods and examples of their application are described in Chapter 4.

3) *Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) methods*

The current generation of boundary-measurement methods is based on the assumption that the wall-induced flow field satisfies the Prandtl-Glauert equation. However, for many types of transonic flows, particularly those for which the supercritical flow reaches the walls, this assumption is no longer valid. Recognition of this problem led to the use of CFD methods able to model transonic flows and these methods are discussed in Chapter 5.

The increased use of computational methods have arisen from a number of factors including :

1. the growing need for accuracy in wind tunnel testing mainly for commercial transport aircraft development.
2. the recognition that the ability to test at flight Reynolds Numbers in cryogenic wind tunnels, such as the National Transonic Facility at NASA, Langley Research Centre and the European Transonic Wind Tunnel at Cologne, is only valuable if the wall interference corrections can be estimated with sufficient accuracy.
3. the need to perform accurate wind tunnel assessment of CFD methods.

Several times in the past the complete breakthrough of Computational Fluid Dynamics was predicted with the automatic consequence, that the wind tunnel as a scientific tool in fluid dynamics will be obsolete. In this case, further work on wind tunnel wall corrections would be unnecessary.

Today most scientists and engineers working in the field of aerodynamic aeroplane development agree, that the mystery of turbulence guarantees a long life of wind tunnels as an indispensable tool in fluid dynamics. Neither the wind tunnel nor computational methods are able to create progress in aeroplane aerodynamics on their own. Only an intelligent combination of both tools enable the aerodynamicist to create a successful new aerodynamic design.

With these developments in mind the editor on the occasion of the Fluid Dynamics Panel Meeting at Turin in May 1992 proposed that a new AGARDograph on the subject of wind tunnel wall corrections should be produced not to supercede AGARDograph 109 but to complement it. This proposal was approved by AGARD, and during the Fluid Dynamics Symposium in October 1993 at Brussels on the subject of "Wall Interference, Support Interference and Flow Field Measurement" a small group of specialists met for a preliminary discussion. From this group an international team of authors was formed. The aim was to produce an AGARDograph which provides the wind tunnel engineer with a comprehensive review of modern methods, mainly reflecting the new developments in wind tunnel wall corrections since AGARDograph 109.

During the work leading to the AGARDograph 336 there was some controversy over the issue as how to correct data for buoyancy or pressure gradient effects. Chapter 1.2 presents a method due to Taylor. Effectively this method ignores the influence of the wind tunnel walls on the development of the boundary layer on the model and it yields the correction to drag coefficient

$$\delta C_D = - C_D \varepsilon$$

for low speed flow. For thin-wake flows Taylor has argued that the wake blockage component may be ignored so that the equation above may be replaced by :

$$\delta C_D = - C_D \varepsilon_s$$

where suffix S refers to solid blockage. This is in agreement with the classical result for low-speed flow given in AGARDograph 109.

Chapter 6 describes a method recently developed by Hackett [1], which gives a correction to drag coefficient

$$\delta C_D = -C_D \varepsilon_w$$

where suffix w refers to wake blockage. Hackett's method, like Taylor's method, is based on concepts valid for inviscid flow, although both can make use of information provided by wall pressures which sense the behaviour of the real flow. Hackett's method has been shown to be more accurate than the classical method or Taylor's method for high blockage, high lift flows. However, neither method has been validated for flows in which viscous effects are significant but not severe enough to cause wholesale separation. Flows of this sort are of particular importance in aeronautical applications. The question of what needs to be done to resolve this issue is dealt with under the heading of "Future necessary work in Wall Corrections" by Steinle in Chapter 12. For more details on this wake drag controversy see also the detailed discussion between J.E. Hackett and several other authors of this AGARDograph in [2].

References

- [1] Hackett, J.E., "Tunnel-Induced Gradients and their Effect on Drag", AIAA Journal, Vol. 34, No. 12, 1996, pp. 2575-2581.
- [2] Discussion on [1] by J.E. Hackett, P.R. Ashill, C.R. Taylor, Kevin R. Cooper and M. Mokry, AIAA Journal, Vol. 36, No. 2, February 1998.