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ABSTRACT

In the first of the two lectures we will have a closer look to the objectionable physiological changes under increased ambient pressure. Additionally we will discuss, what kind of side effects will occur when breathing pure oxygen under these conditions and therefore an extensive increase of oxygen partial pressure in blood will result.

As a chain of reasoning, these physiological changes will implicate contraindications against the use of hyperbaric oxygen.

The second lecture (Crush Injury and HBOT / Soft Tissue Infections and HBOT) will give a short overview about pathophysiology and common causes of these conditions. It will also explain the theoretically benefits of Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy and its role in the treatment complex of these injuries/diseases.

1.0 COMPLICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS OF HBOT

The effects of HBOT are direct results of physical laws (e.g. higher ambient pressure) and of physiological changes due to higher partial pressure of the gases in the human body.

These physical and physiological changes do not lead to positive effects in the treatment of a variety of diseases/trauma and poisoning. They also bear an amount if specific risks.

These specific physical and physiological effects on the human body are also the cause for the various side effects of HBOT.

1.1 Complications of HBOT

Complications can be divided into three different groups / causes:

- stay in an enclosed surrounding (the hyperbaric chamber with limited space)  
- the effects of an altered (higher) ambient pressure  
- the effects of higher partial pressure of oxygen
1.1.1 Stay in an enclosed surrounding

As the patient/staff is kept in an enclosed environment there are some severe problems that can occur:

a) Risk of combustion / fire:

Several fires in hyperbaric chambers with casualties and fatalities have been reported. There is a significant risk for fire in hyperbaric chambers when the oxygen fraction of the ambient air in the chamber exceeds 23%.

b) Psychological reactions:

Not only due to the limited space in the chamber but also due to the necessity to wear a tight fitting mask or helmet can cause severe effects in the patient:

Studies have shown that up to four percent of the patient’s complaints were caused by such psychological phenomenons rather than somatic problems:

dizziness, anxiety, vertigo, hyperventilation

1.1.2 Changes due to altered ambient pressure

Barotrauma of ear, sinuses, teeth

In a study of Plafki et al. (1997) with 694 patient and 9998 chamber-treatments, two percent of the patients complained about severe equalization problems resulting in modifications in the therapy protocol/therapeutic regimen.

About twelve percent of the patients reported equalization problems without the need to change the treatment protocol.

Three percent of the patients showed signs of middle ear barotrauma (ear drum injection, tympanic effusion), one patient suffered from an ear drum rupture. Half of these patients required a paracentesis before continuing their treatment.

State of the art literature showed no evidence for inner ear barotrauma or barotrauma of the teeth.

Barotrauma of the lung

This is a general risk when exposed to a higher ambient pressure. Especially in the decompression phase lung areas with low ventilation (so called trapped air) are at risk to develop pulmonary barotrauma. This can lead to tension pneumothorax and/or arterial gas embolism.

There is no statistical evaluation of the specific risk to develop pulmonary barotrauma. This can be explained by the moderate pressure changes during the treatment. HBOT requires an 100% oxygen breathing for the patient. Thus, even in the rare case of a pulmonary barotrauma, there would be no harm for the patient - as the oxygen is metabolized in the body very rapidly.
Long term effects of repeated compression

Repeated exposure to high ambient pressure can lead to dysbaric osteonecrosis. The pathomechanism still remains unclear. This clinical entity affects hyperbaric staff only.

1.1.3 Acute side effects due to higher nitrogen partial pressure:

When the absolute pressure exceeds 4 bar, nitrogen narcosis will set in. It is characterized by euphoria, illusions and can lead to a loss of consciousness. During an HBOT this may only affect the HBO staff (as the patient breathes 100% oxygen).

1.1.4 Effects of increased oxygen partial pressure:

Side effects can be divided in acute and chronic effects.

Acute side effects:

The most important side effect (1,3 out of 10 000 treatments) is the so-called oxygen seizure (Paul-Bert effect). The risk for this seizures starts when oxygen partial pressure reaches 1,2 bar or higher. It increases exponentially with rising pressure. Patients with a fever, hypothermia, CNS trauma, history of seizures are at an even higher risk.

Acute pulmonary toxicity is also caused by oxygen. Typical symptoms are coughing, dyspnea, retrosternal sensations. This syndrome was first described by the end of the 19th century (Lorraine Smith effect).

Alterations of the ocular lens (e.g. cataract and visual impairment) as an effect of BHOT were reported by some patients.

Chronic Side Effects

Pulmonary fibrosis can be a longterm effect of repeated HBOT. To quantify the damage to the lung tissue the UPTD (Units of Pulmonary Toxicity Dose) was defined.

One UPTD equals breathing 1bar oxygen for 1 minute.

-> Therefore a treatment according to US-Navy table 6 equals 645 UPTDs.

615 UPTDs lead to a deterioration of the lung's vital capacity by two percent. 1425 UPTDs even by ten percent.

Experimental exposition to HBOT has shown DNA damage. Clinical effects of this phenomenon require further investigation as they may affect both, patient and HBO staff.

1.2 Contraindications of HBOT

Possible contraindications must always be considered as to the patient's individual risk and profit of HBOT. Especially the patient's prognosis with or without HBOT and alternative therapeutic regimens have to be considered.

Contraindications are always directly related to the individual case and therefore dependent on the severity of indication. In case of a life-threatening entity, e.g. severe CO-poisoning, there exists nearly none contraindication.
The only absolute contraindication for HBOT is an untreated pneumothorax.

### Table 1: Contraindications of HBOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute Contraindication for HBOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>untreated (unevacuated) Pneumothorax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Relative Contraindications for HBOT

- History or current therapy with certain zytostatics (Bleomycin, Doxorubicine)
- Current therapy with disulfiram (A drug used in the treatment of alcohol addiction: Rat experiments have shown a 30% lethality when used simultaneously with HBOT at 2.5bar for 12(!) hours)
- Severe cardiorespiratory insufficiency
- Epilepsy
- Severe emphysema with CO2 retention
- Ear or thoracic surgery in the past
- Pacemakers/defibrillators not suitable for hyperbaric exposure
- uncontrolled high fever
- Pregnancy
- Claustrophobia

## 2.0 CRUSH INJURY AND HBOT

### 2.1 Pathophysiology of Crush Injury

The term crush injury summarizes traumatic tissue and/or vascular injuries that cause severe tissue damage and make tissue survival questionable.

The broad definition of soft tissue injury (non-bony, non-organ injury) accounts for the vast majority of traumatic injuries. Crush injuries are only a small portion of this category, but they result from a wide range of mechanisms:
Direct Force

Direct force crush injuries are the most common types of crush injuries. In this case, an object (or objects) applies force and destroys tissue by direct compression or force to the tissue is applied by high energy (e.g. blast wave). Examples of this include injuries caused by falling objects, blunt trauma distributed over larger areas and blast injury.

Entrapment / Weight-Based Compression

In this situation, compression of tissue is caused by the patient’s position. This damage typically manifests over hours and sometimes days. The inability of a patient to shift position causes compression and restricts blood flow. Cells are deprived of oxygen, and waste products build up. Dramatic examples of this include victims trapped and pinned by earthquakes and bomb blasts, but more common examples occur in patients who fall and are unable to get up; their weight causes the crushing force on dependent structures.

These mechanism are falling in the specified class of blunt trauma. Blunt trauma damages by applying force and stretching tissues beyond their normal tolerances. A crush injury - a particular type of blunt trauma - damages tissues by compressive force. This force is generally applied over larger areas and damages more tissue, either through direct compression (direct, crushing force) or by compressing tissues and limiting blood flow (perfusion) to the cells in that area. Crush injuries can occur over a relatively small area, such as striking the thumb with a hammer, or over a large area.

The injuries result in local hypoxia (and possible systemic effects, e.g. shock).

Ischemia leads to edema and vice versa. Ischemia is caused by direct vascular trauma, fluid leakage or compartment syndrome. All these changes lead to tissue hypoxia.

Edema is either vasogenic or zytnogenic and it enhances the diffusion distance from oxygen to the cells. Edema also leads to capillary collapse and thus extends the degree of hypoxia.

If reperfusion can be established this leads to excessive production of oxygen radicals that cause vasoconstriction and finally even more hypoxia.
**Table 2: Clinical stages: Gustilo classification**

**Evaluation of host status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Points</td>
<td>1 Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>40-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulation</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking / Steroid Use</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>&gt; 5 years ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac / Renal</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>mild to moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy/Deformity</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: host status score and severity of compromise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Severity of compromise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>normal Host</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>impaired Host</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or less</td>
<td>severely compromised Host</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Use of HBOT for open fractures – crush injuries (Gustilo Classification)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>expected Outcome</th>
<th>Use of HBOT and Host Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>normal Host</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Score 8-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>small (≤1 cm) laceration from inside to outside</td>
<td>usually not different from a closed fracture</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>large laceration but minimal soft tissue damage</td>
<td>usually not different from a closed fracture</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Crush injuries:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A Sufficient soft tissue to close wound</td>
<td>infections and/or nonunion rates &lt; 10%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(primary or delayed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B Flaps or grafts required to obtain soft tissue coverage</td>
<td>about 50% incidence of complications (infections/nonunion)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C Major (macrovascular) vessel injury</td>
<td>about 50% incidence of complications (infections/nonunion)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) consider primary amputation with adjunctive HBOT for wound healing and flap survival

2.2 Rationale for HBO therapy

Main goal of hyperbaric oxygenation in crush injuries is to establish tissue hyperoxygenation. When pressurized to more than 2.4 bar almost all oxygen becomes dissolved in plasma. Thus tissue can remain alive without any other oxygen carriers.

Second effect of HBO is vasoconstriction that leads to significant reduction of edema (more than 20%).

Third, hyperoxygenation improves the work of fibroblasts, influences neutrophils and is toxic to anaerobic microorganisms.

Last HBO protects from reperfusion injuries due to antagonism of lipid peroxidation and other cellular or humoral reactions. Some authors postulate a promotion of neurogenesis or even angiogenesis due to HBO. It may improve the outcome of skin graftings.

In animal models (rodents, dogs) of crush injury, studies proved significant effects of HBO therapy: reduction of muscle necrosis, improved wound healing, fewer amputations, improvement of osteogenesis, improvement of microvascular blood flow, less muscular edema and better neutrophil adherence to vascular walls. It has no effect on myogenic transcription factors. HBO also regulates inflammatory gene expression in endothelial cells and can lead to decrease in apoptosis expression.
Clinical scientific evidence:

We did a medline search for the items HBO and crush injury back until 1969. There are well established animal models of crush injury, data on human casualties are rare. There are only 28 hits for both items (HBO and crush injury) in the medline. Most of the data result from case reports of up to 35 patients. There is only one RCT that compares crush injuries with and without HBO therapy (Bouachour et al.)

HBO improved healing and reduced surgical procedures significantly. Especially patients over 40 could profit from the treatment.

Several clinical scales have been used to select patients suitable for HBO treatment. The items included age, limb ischemia, shock, skeletal and soft tissue injury.

For best results on human crush injuries the following recommendations exist:

- Gustilo grades IIIb and IIIc
- Begin as soon as possible
- If surgery is delayed more than one hour: HBO first
- 3-4 HBO sessions/day for 3 days, then 2 sessions/day for 2 days, 1 session/day for 3 more days.

Management is two-fold: first direct interventions are required (surgical), second part is management of all indirect effects of the injury (fluid replacement, antibiotics, adequate oxygenation). Data are rare, levels of evidence are low. There is a need for more studies. From the existing data the experts conclude that the adjunctive use of HBO in crush injuries may result in a favorable outcome for selected patients.

3.0 HBOT AND GAS GANGRENE

3.1 Pathophysiology of the condition

Gas gangrene is mostly caused by Clostridium perfringens (80-90%).

30% of all wounds are contaminated with C. perfringens, but only a few patients develop gas gangrene. Additionally a decreased oxygen-reduction potential in the wound is required. This is mostly caused by circulatory failure. Most tissue destruction can be found in „high velocity“ wounds, e.g. bullet and blast wounds and vehicle accidents. Mechanisms responsible for the rapid tissue destruction in gas gangrene are not well understood. The most important factor for this is tissue hypoxia.

C. perfringens grows freely in oxygen tensions under 30mm Hg. It produces 6 toxins. Alphatoxin ist the most important one. It causes tissue necrosis. Thetatoxin leads to hemolysis, necrosis and cardiotoxicity. Clinical features are: Pain, tachycardia, crepitus, hemolysis, low grade fever, bronzing of the skin, bullae formation, obtunded sensation.

3.2 Rationale for HBO therapy

There are only a few studies as to the effects of HBO on gas gangrene. Animal models have not been established- except for one mouse model. Studies on isolated bacteria have shown suppression of clostridial growth when oxygen pressure of 40mmHg or more is applied. Oxygen pressure of 80mmHg inhibits toxin synthesis. Spore formation is also suppressed. On the mouse model HBO did not improve the survival rate of patients with gas gangrene.
Clinical scientific evidence:

Most data results from case reports, a lot from eastern Europe and Russia. They are mostly on the scientific levels of case reports or retrospective studies. When established within 24 hours after symptom onset HBO reduces the fatality of gas gangrene to about 5%. Therapeutic regimen is the Boerema table: 20m, 2x45 minutes. HBO can be recommended on the basis of sound experimental evidence and favorable clinical experience. Additionally the therapy includes antibiotics, surgical debridements and intensive care therapy.

4.0 HBOT AND SOFT TISSUE INFECTIONS

4.1 Pathophysiology of the condition

Soft-tissue infections are common, generally of mild to modest severity, and are easily treated with a variety of agents. An etiologic diagnosis of simple cellulitis is frequently difficult and generally unnecessary for patients with mild signs and symptoms of illness. Clinical assessment of the severity of infection is crucial, and several classification schemes and algorithms have been proposed to guide the clinician.

Nevertheless soft tissue infections are an increasing medical problem. They occur after trauma, invasive medical procedures, around foreign bodies and even spontaneously. Patients who present to the hospital with severe infection or whose infection is progressing despite empirical antibiotic therapy should be treated more aggressively.

Clinical pictures vary widely (necrotizing fasciitis, Fournier gangrene, non-clostridial myonecrosis,..) and their etiology is multifactorial. These infections are mostly caused by gram negative bacteria and anaerobic microorganisms. Streptococci play an important role. Gram positive bacteria and even mycosis should also be considered.
Picture 1: Mucormycosis of leg (from: N Engl J Med 367;23)
Due to varying causes of infection the pathophysiology is not easy to describe. Common factor is tissue hypoxia that suppresses immunologic defense mechanisms. Additionally there are host factors (reduced defense, vascular insufficiency, vein thrombosis). Bacteroidaceae have been proven to interfere with the host’s interferon production and influence phagocytic activity.

4.2 Rationale for HBO therapy

Oxygen is an important factor in wound healing:

- Hypoxia interferes with many components of wound healing. Many of these can be modelled or expressed numerically.

- There is in vitro, in vivo and clinical evidence of a relationship between the available amount of oxygen in the wound to healing processes. Oxygen is specially needed in the inflammatory phase.

- The presence of oxygen reduces wound oedema.

- Bacterial killing is oxygen dependent. It is maximal at several hundred mm Hg pO2, and goes almost to zero in hypoxic patients. There is evidence for the relationship between hypoxia to wound infection at the cellular, animal, and human level. Questions remain on the type of bacteria most sensitive to hypoxia and which clinical parameters are involved (CRP, fibrin, procollagen, leukocytes, neutrophils).

- Angiogenesis, although previously thought to be induced by hypoxia, seems to be directly sensitive to oxygen as a function of local lactate delivery. Angiogenesis is reduced to zero at tissue tensions of 10 mm Hg. In clinically oxygen deficient situations, the granulation tissue is not formed. There is evidence for an effect of oxygen on angiogenesis in basic studies and for animals and humans.

- Collagen production is maximal at 250 mm Hg and falls to almost zero in severe clinical hypoxia (Km= 25 mm Hg pO2). Cell motility falls with loss of cell energy production that occurs at or below 10 mm Hg pO2. There is evidence for the need of oxygen in collagen synthesis and strength in basic, animal and human wounds.

In vitro HBO showed several effects on soft tissue infections:

- Bacteriostatic effects on anaerobic microorganisms (due to their inability to fight oxygen radicals), bactericidal effects (injury by free radicals)

- Improvement of tissue oxygenation

- Preventing extension of invading micororganisms

- Improvement of phagocytic killing activity

- Edema reduction

- Increased function of capillaries

- Increased efficacy of antibiotics (e.g. aminoglycosides, linezolid)
In animal models (mouse, rat, rabbit) the mortality rates were significantly lower when treated with antibiotics and HBO. But (depending on the specific type of bacteria) there were also some harmful effects to be seen: streptococci infections worsen under high oxygen tensions.

Clinical scientific evidence:

The level of evidence on soft tissue infections and HBO is low. There are only case reports and retrospective studies, no RCT. All most all study patients were compromised hosts.

HBO is one part of a multimodal therapy and is only to be used for certain patients. The main therapeutic interventions for these patients are surgery, antimicrobial drugs and intensive care therapy. Data are contradictory. Risemann and lots of other authors found significantly reduced fatality and improved outcome, less number of debridements. They recommend HBO should be used routinely in the treatment of necrotizing fasciitis. Some recent studies however showed no benefits for patients treated with HBO (no difference in survival, length of hospital stay or duration of antibiotic therapy). This is supported by three studies from the early 1980s. Further studies are required.
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