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Operational Problem Statement

Operational Problem:
Helicopter mishaps due to Degraded Visual Environments (DVE) including brownout, wire/object strike, and Controlled Flight Into Terrain (CFIT)

Impact:
During OEF/OIF there were 266 aircraft lost and 329 fatalities from combat/non-hostile and non-combat mishaps compared to 65 aircraft and 140 fatalities from combat/hostile action

Supporting Evidence*:
80% of all helicopter mishaps are due to non-hostile action
58% (of 80%) losses and 52% (of 80%) fatalities due to DVE, CFIT, wire/object strike, dynamic rollover, or hard landing

Resource Management Directive 700:
Directs services to acquire Helicopter Terrain Awareness and Warning System (HTAWS) capability for all fleet helicopters as solution to CFIT

* Rotorcraft Survivability Study, Joint Aircraft Survivability Program Office, 2009
Cable Warning/Obstacle Avoidance
Additional Operational Need

Wire strikes are the number one threat to low flying aircraft during training operations. During armed conflict, low altitude obstacles (wires, towers, etc.) are the number two threat to low level operations, preceded only by small arms and air defense systems.

Difficult to see in low visibility
Difficult to detect with RF sensors
Forces higher cruise altitude
- Increased threat exposure
LZ Situation Awareness S&T Programs

HALS – Helicopter Autonomous Landing System
- US Army UH-60 flight demonstration Program (Sierra Nevada Corp)
- MMW Radar (94 GHz) with added cockpit display & pilot symbology
- Designed to support brownout landing and TF/TA requirements

Sandblaster
- DARPA UH-60M centric demonstration program (Sikorsky)
- Advanced flight controls to aid aircraft guidance and pilot workload
- Open system architecture with evidence grid processing and E/SVS displays, with demonstration of MMW Radar sensor

Electronic Bumper
- AF Non-Imaging RF for 360 deg situation awareness
- AF and Navy Phase II SBIRs on contract

BLAST – Brownout Landing Aid System Technology
- BAE and AF/DARPA lightweight radar solution
- Risk reduction accomplished through flight data collection

3D-LZ
- AF high performance imaging ladar (HN Burns Eng)
- Joint service flight test – full brownout landings in proximity to obstacles
- Designed to support brownout landing and CW/OA requirements
Helicopter Autonomous Landing System (HALS)

Technologies

- **Radar**
  - 3D scan, 1 deg pencil beam, 94 GHz pulsed radar
  - 30° x 30° field-of-view
  - Gimbaled antenna for enroute, landing, hover, and sling load capability

- **Perspective display**
  - “First return” signal processing, terrain mapping, and 3D-display
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Capability – Integrated Brownout Solution

Perspective terrain imaging from combined sensor scans and a priori terrain and obstacle map

Real-time LZ scanning with low cost 94 GHz “see through” radar

Four Pillar Design Approach

• Advanced flight control
  – Flight Director
  – Predictive flight path guidance
• Enhanced synthetic vision
  – Perspective synthetic imagery
  – Enhanced symbology
• See-through sensing
  – 94 GHz MMW radar
• Knowledge fusion
  – Sensor-driven external evidence grid
  – On-board terrain map, obstacle map
  – Open Architecture
**“Electronic Bumper” Radar**

**Sensor Technologies**

- Electronically Reconfigurable Antenna (ERA)

  Each unit covers ~90° azimuth sector

**Capability**

- Low cost, safety-of-flight landing aid
  - Goal: 10 lb and $10K /ship set!
  - Hemispherical coverage
  - Detection of stationary/moving obstacles/aircraft

- Cable Warning / Obstacle Avoidance (CW/OA) during low level penetration

- Goal of common processing architecture for Electronic Bumper, CW/OA, and RadAlt

- Low cost “strap-on” kit for legacy aircraft – integrated solution with ladar for advanced aircraft
“Rubber Band” Display Concept
“BLAST” Radar

Sensor Technologies
- Radar
  - 1 deg pencil beam, 94 GHz FMCW radar
  - 2D mechanical scan (+/- 34° both axes)
  - Circular polarization
  - Dual axis Monopulse
    -- 10:1 improved angular accuracy with 15 dB SNR!
    -- Spatial filtering of side-lobe returns
  - Scan pattern optimized for target area/LZ
- Perspective display
  - “Valley View” Terrain Rendering
    -- Good definition of obstacle shapes

Capability
- Lightweight, safety-of-flight landing aid
  -- 10 lb radar!
- Obstacle detection and terrain measurement during approach to landing
- Cable Warning / Obstacle Avoidance (CW/OA) during low level penetration
Dust-Penetrating Ladar

High Resolution Ladar Imagery Throughout
Brownout Approach, Landing, And Takeoff

BOSS-LG Landing Guidance
- Manual Approach and Landing
- Fully Coupled Approach and Landing

Cable Warning/Obstacle Avoidance
- Cables, Wires, Poles, Towers
- Audio Caution and Alert
- Ladar Imagery Display

Helicopter Terrain Awareness and Warning System (HTA威尔)
- Ladar Imagery and DTED Integration
- Audio Caution and Alert
- Fused Ladar Imagery and DTED Display
## Ladar Functionality Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Ladar Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Ladar Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Ladar Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-time geo-referenced imagery with colored obstacles</td>
<td>Real-time dust rejection</td>
<td>True reflectance colored imagery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited dust penetration</td>
<td>Enhanced dust penetration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited wire detection</td>
<td>Real-time wire/obstacle detection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual runway</td>
<td>Full 3D Landing Pad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wingman view</td>
<td>Multiple selectable views</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helicopter Terrain Awareness and Warning System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrated Digital Terrain Elevation Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Military Utility Assessment Report

- CENTCOM released the MUA report in April 2015

- No quick-look and no draft was provided. No coordination of the report was conducted.

- Findings directly contradict the observations of the evaluation pilots.
Pilot Feedback to 3D-LZ/BOSS

- When asked how does 3D-LZ compare to traditional brownout landings, he said *It's definitely a lot safer. It was outright dangerous what we have done. I never felt unsafe. This is way better...LADAR is the best I've ever seen. The obstacles are accurate. Compared to everything I've seen, the LADAR is pretty great.* – Maj Joe Davis, US Army 3D-LZ MUA Evaluation Pilot (9 SEP 14 Post-Mission Brief)

- *3D-LZ really simplifies what the pilot has to think about. Everything is there to give the pilot the situational awareness he needs. The LADAR is giving you pretty good imagery of what's out in front.* – CW4 Todd Buller, US Army 3D-LZ MUA Evaluation Pilot (11 SEP 14 Post-Mission Brief)

- When asked if the system is better than what we have now he responded, "Absolutely. I'll take it right now." - Maj Joe Davis, US Army 3D-LZ MUA Evaluation Pilot (12 SEP 14 Post-Mission Brief)

- *I like all four systems [BOSS, HTAWS, LADAR, MCLAWS]. All four combined make an amazing product. It's revolutionary. The new technology is amazing.* – Maj Eric Vanley, USAF HH-60G pilot, 3D-LZ MUA Evaluation Pilot (20 SEP 14 Post-Mission Brief)

- *Three systems [BOSS, HTAWS, LADAR] work very well together. Performance like that in a heinous brownout speaks volumes and builds confidence. LADAR stuff I really enjoy. Combining the three is a game changer for surviving. I had a challenging week but it was a blast.* – Maj Tom Harley, USAF HH-60G pilot, 3D-LZ MUA Evaluation Pilot (20 SEP 14 Post-Mission Brief)

- *The 3DLZ system significantly increases safety and situational awareness while conducting mission representative approaches to a hover and/or landing in moderate to severe brownout conditions.* - Maj Eric Vanley, USAF HH-60G pilot, 3D-LZ MUA Evaluation Pilot (trip report)
FLIR/LADAR Q-29 Turret

- JCTD advanced the integrated FLIR/LADAR design to CDR
- Post-JCTD program with Raytheon fabricated the modified Q-29 turret to marry with the HNBEC LADAR sensor head
- FLIR portion of the turret contains an SLA FLIR assembly
- Raytheon/HNBEC tour of turret
Conclusions

Many sensor technologies exist or are being developed for DVE

- All sensors have their own strengths and weaknesses
  - Optimal solution will vary with mission/application

- US Air Force needs high resolution capability to meet mission requirements (wire/small obstruction detection)
  - The high performance LADAR sensor meets these requirements

- MUA report determined the 3D-LZ system has no military utility
  - This is in stark contrast to the evaluation pilot performance and subjective feedback